

Optimal Strategies for Active Surveillance of Men With Prostate Cancer

¹Department of Industrial and Operations Engineering, University of Michigan, ²Department of Urology, University of Michigan Medical School

Prostate Cancer (PCa)

- PCa is the 2nd most common cancer in American men
- American Cancer Society estimates about 29,430 deaths from **PCa in 2018**
- Early detection and treatment can mitigate the deterioration of patients' health and improve survival rate
- **Common treatments include radial prostatectomy, radiation** therapy, and active surveillance
- Active surveillance is suited for low-risk cancer because it:
- Has comparable survival rate with other treatments
- **Avoids treatment with significant side-effects**

Active surveillance (AS) of PCa

- AS: periodically monitoring cancer using PSA or biopsy tests until it has progressed
- Testing infrequently could cause missed detection, but testing too frequently could cause significant harm from biopsies
- **Research questions:**
- What is the optimal policy for when to biopsy?
- When should biopsy be deferred for patients with low-risk PCa?

Partially Observable Markov Decision Process (POMDP) Model

- 5 states: C = low-risk cancer, P = progressed cancer, T = treatment, M = metastasized cancer, D = death
- Belief vector represenst partially observable states of C and P:
- $\pi_n = \mathbb{P}(P)$, the probability patient has progressed cancer in period n
- π_n is updated using Bayesian updating based on the observation in the current period
- Actions: wait $(a_n = W)$, and biopsy $(a_n = B)$
- **Objective:** maximize Quality Adjusted Life Years (QALY)
- We consider the following transition probabilities:



William Yang, M.Eng.¹ <u>wtyang@umich.edu</u>

Zheng Zhang, Ph.D.¹; Weiyu Li¹; Brian T. Denton, Ph.D.^{1,2}



Another property of interest is the *threshold policy*:

0.6

π₈₀

0.8

0.2

- A threshold policy exists if there is a probability π^* , such that if the probability of having progressed cancer is above π^* , then the optimal decision is to biopsy; otherwise, waiting is optimal
- Figure 2 shows the threshold with respect to age

 $a_n = B.$

 $a_n = W$

Figure 2: Risk Threshold



50

- their lower and upper bound (Figure 3a-d)
- treatment (κ)





This work was supported by the National Science Foundation (CMMI 0844511 to BTD); any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation.



UNIVERSITY of MICHIGAN

Sensitivity Analysis

One-way sensitivity analysis for certain parameters to vary between

Test the base case, lower bound, and upper bound for each parameter to see how they affect threshold with respect to time

Top 4 influential factors: annual QALY for living in treatment (q_{T}) , annual QALY for living with metastasized cancer (q_M), transition probability from P to M ($\overline{\beta}$), and immediate QALY disutility for

Conclusions

There exists a Threshold Policy (π^*) at every time period, and this threshold increases with respect to age

Patients over age 88 are suggested to discontinue surveillance because there is no benefit from treatment due to other cause mortality

Threshold vs. time is most sensitive to q_M , q_T , $\overline{\beta}$, and κ , and robust to the other values tested (β , f, γ , and θ)

Acknowledgements