

An Innovative Framework to Improve Efficiency of Interhospital Transfers Luke Bruski, Mariel Lavieri, Fola Odetola, and Gabriel Zayas-Caban

Problem Statement

- Pediatric intensive care units (PICUs) care for critically ill
- Two types of PICUs: Level I and level II.
- Critically ill patients are frequently transferred from Level
- Decision to transfer is based on qualitative and broad guid
- Transfer patients experience
 - worse clinical outcomes than patients initially admitted higher mortality the longer they spend in the Level II
- Most common example of transferred patients are failure; significant morbidity and mortality are associated
- There is no objective criteria for *if* and *when* to transfer p

Goal: Develop a systematic frame making ICU transfer decisions for respiratory failure.



Multi-step Approacl

- Identify factors associated with transfer using regression
- 2 Specify objective criteria for transferring patients
- **3** Testing threshold policies against actual transfer data

Data: 646 patients (184 transferred, 462 non-transferred) from 6 Level II PICUs in MI and OH from January 1, 1997 to December 31, 2007.

2015 Healthcare Engineering and Patient Safety Symposium

t	
and injured children	CovariateCoefficientDays in PICU (10^4)49.410Age (days, 10^4)-0.820
el II to Level I PICUs. idelines.	Absolute change from initial PELOD score (10^4) 0.871 Minimum Heart Rate (10^4) -0.481 Maximum Heart Rate (10^4) -0.443 Minimum Systolic Blood
ed to Level I PICU PICU before transferring.	Number of Systeme Diood -1.070 Pressure (10^4) -0.205 Maximum Systolic Blood -0.205 Pressure (10^4) -0.136 Arterial Catheter 0.136 Central Venous Catheter 0.413 UEOV 1.567
children with respiratory d with these patients. Datients between levels.	HFOV1.567Nitric Oxide1.444Surfactant1.278Antibiotics-0.944Steroids-0.429Blood Transfusion0.587
WORK FOR children with Discharge patient without transfer	 Patients are transferred who some threshold. Threshold is determined to Type I error: tran Type II error: not Right: Example of estimated transferred tra
Yes Transfer to Level I PICU	0.4 0.4 0.3 - 0.2 -
h	Ratio of the Importance of Type I error to Type

- Determine what happens in Level I PICUs after transfer
- Optimization model

Identifying Important Factors

 Standard 1	Error P-Value	Characteristic	Coefficient (B)	Standard Error	P-Value
16.67	< 0.01	Intorcont	<u> </u>	0.307	
0.578	0.16	intercept	-0.209	0.307	0.30
0.385	0.02	Absolute difference from initial PELOD score (10 ⁴)	0.961	0.319	< 0.01
0.322	0.14	HFVO	1.33	0.534	0.01
0.272	0.10	Antibiotics	-1.24	0.349	< 0.01
0.462	0.02	Blood Transfusion	0.676	0.287	0.02
0.321	0.52	Left: For each factor, regression	was used to dete	rmine whether vari	able can explai
0.258	0.60	in transfor status Conoralized o	ctimating aquatio	nc (CEE) and hinary	logistic rogros
0.234	0.08	in transfer status. Generalizeu e	sumating equatio	IIS (GEE) and binary	logistic regres
0.491	< 0.01	were used.			
0.740	0.05	Abouto. Naultiple verse sie provite			
0.683	0.06	Above: Wuitiple regression with	i backward elimina	ation was used to d	etermine impo
0.327	< 0.01	factors for explaining variation i	n transfer status.	Important variables	s (p < 0.05) are d
0.233	0.07				
0 240	0.01	GEE and BLR were again used.			

Specify Objective Criteria

when estimated transfer probability (from regression) is above

- to minimize the weighted average of Type I and II error where transferring patient who did not need transfer
- not transferring a patient who needed transfer.
- transfer probability for a patient that is not transferred.
- ated transfer probability for a patient that is transferred.



Testing Threshold Policies





or to Type II error

Left: Optimal transfer thresholds (determined with training data) are displayed for various choices in importance between Type I and Type II error.

Middle: Average reduction in transfer delay is depicted using the optimal transfer thresholds.

Right: Demographics of patients in training and testing data.

Next Steps

Incorporate operational components (e.g. number of beds.)

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the following organizations for their support:



in variation ssion (BLR)

ortant displayed.

	Training data		Testing data		
Characteristic	n	Proportion	n	Proportion	P-Value
Mean Age in months (SD)) 53.0 (66.2)		42.5 (64.5)		0.08
Central Venous Catheter	140	0.38	134	0.36	0.61
HFVO	19	0.05	18	0.05	0.85
Nitric Oxide	8	0.02	5	0.01	0.40
Surfactant	9	0.02	7	0.02	0.61
Antibiotics	328	0.88	325	0.87	0.60
Steroids	175	0.47	148	0.40	0.04
Blood Transfusion	118	0.32	113	0.30	0.66
Sepsis	13	0.04	6	0.02	0.13
Mean PICU stay in days (SD)	9.5 (11.7)		9.5 (15.8)		0.92
Mean maximum change from initial PELOD score (SD)	11.0 (8.7)		10.2 (7.9)		0.15
Mean minimum Heart Rate (SD)	110.7 (26.3)		112.7 (25.6)		0.28
Mean maximum Heart Rate (SD)	153.4 (27.0)		155.5 (26.8)		0.28
Mean minimum Systolic Blood Pressure (SD)	87.9 (18.7)		86.0 (17.6)		0.16
Mean maximum Blood Pressure (SD)	119.5 (22.2)		117.8 (21.0)		0.28

Center for Healthcare Engineering and Patient Safety Seth Bonder Foundation Institute for Healthcare Policy and Innovation President's Postdoctoral Fellowship